UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/825,644	04/15/2004	Christopher J. Stone	D3125	8994
43471 7590 01/26/2007 GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION DBA THE CONNECTED HOME SOLUTIONS BUSINESS OF MOTOROLA, INC.			EXAMINER	
			DALEY, CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY	
101 TOURNAMENT DRIVE HORSHAM, PA 19044		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		•	2111	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IMAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/825,644	STONE, CHRISTOPHER J.	
		Art Unit	
	Daley Christopher	2111	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

	1
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Red	quest for Review filed <u>12/21/06</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is reason(s): 	s improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include reas	n filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ons why a review is appropriate. d with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from tion, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appear is required to submit an appeal brief in according will be reset to be one month from manual from the receipt of the notice of approximately.	als and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been all because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant cordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period opeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the stack Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	
	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is a	te has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Daley Christopher</u> .	(3) MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(2) Mark Rinehart.	(4) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100